

## Impulses from WP7



## General remarks

**Vincent Briquel wrote in its comments on the report of WP7:**

**“I could have given a help before that “discovering”  
what you finally wrote in the report”**

## Impulses from WP7



## Starting points

**Interpretation / "operationalisation" of the selected main trend:**

**Definition of different trends of the development of "urban areas" (dynamically developing, stagnating and losing with additional sub-types)**

**Indicator lists:**

**Proposals of indicators, roughly checked on data availability, considering the dimensions of sustainability**

**Main trend images:**

**Possible approach to select test regions**

## Impulses from WP7



## Recommendations, questions

### How can we proceed in working on indicators?

- **Let's set priorities!**
- **Adapt the indicators to new requirements of the following WPs?**  
**Search for additional indicators dealing with some "lost" aspects of the selected main trend?**
- **Include indicators coming out of WP9 (tools)?**
- **Maintain the differentiation of identification and evaluation indicators?**
- **How do we further work with the DIAMONT data base?**



## Impulses from WP7

## Recommendations, questions

### How can we get data for the indicators?

- **Let us focus further data collection on indicators of WP7!**
- **Which capacities do we still have for data collection within WP8?**
- **Shall we really exclude data collection for NUTS3 level?**
- **Shall we really exclude point and linear data?**
- **Do we have to modify indicators due to data limitations?**



## Impulses from WP7

## Recommendations, questions

### How do we select the test regions?

- **...with the help of the proposed identification indicators by forming the main trend images?**

#### **Our idea:**

- 1. select some identification indicators which meet a consensus**
- 2. specify the indicator formula**
- 3. collect data**
- 4. calculate the indicators for the urbanisation zone**
- 5. define the transformation scheme**
- 6. display the main trend images**



## Impulses from WP7

### Recommendations, questions

#### How shall we work on indicators in the test regions?

- **Working in the test regions will give us the opportunity to collect more data and to test especially the evaluation indicators!**
- **How can indicator work be linked with the tools elaborated and / or discussed in WP9?**

**Vincent Briquel: “Evaluation indicators should inform on specific aspects to which regional policies pay attention.”**

## Status quo of WP 7



## Main criticised points, points for further discussion

### Main trends and phenomena

- Transparency of the selection of phenomena further considered for the indicator selection
- Phenomena are in part rather general, they may be more or less distant from issues perceived locally
- Working on the selected main trend does not encompass all important issues linked with the main trend (strong functional interrelations between municipalities) – ongoing reflections on main trends are not incorporated

## Status quo of WP 7



## Main criticised points, points for further discussion

### Indicator selection

- **Some indicators are still too general.**
- **Indicators have not been tested on their sensibility for being interpreted against the phenomena on LAU 2 or NUTS 3 level.**
- **Indicators have not been structured in a hierarchical form (like key and core indicators) – this possibility should be further explored.**