




# WORKSHOP 2 STRATEGY

Munich, 4 October 2007

*Loredana Alfarè and Marco Zumaglini - UNCEM*



## RED LINE BETWEEN WS 1 AND WS 2





## WORKSHOP 2: MAIN ASPECTS

- **METHOD: World Café**
- **LOGICAL PROCESS: Problems/Conflicts/Instruments/Resolution Strategies/Possible Best Practices(/Actions needed)**
- **FOCUS: Conflict Resolution**
- **EXPECTED INPUT FROM DIAMONT PARTNERS:**

**Provide stakeholders with appropriate instruments, best practice examples and strategies for conflict-solving.**

**No. 1 common problem (Cooperation) for all Test Regions should be covered (and related instruments) to ease comparison.**
- **EXPECTED RESULT FROM STAKEHOLDERS' POINT OF VIEW:**

**Strategies and instruments coupled with best practices applicable in their home Region.**



## WORKSHOP STRUCTURE

- 1) Summary of Workshop 1 results;
- 2) Aims of Workshop 2 – Logical Process (Matrix);
- 3) Summary explanation of selected problems/conflicts;
- 4) Discussion on proposed instruments and conflict resolution strategies:  
to be carried out through round tables (no. 3);
- 5) Conclusions;
- 6) Follow-up.



## ROUND X: COOPERATION AND PARTICIPATION

Within the proposed strategy to tackle land resource management conflicts, consider the following instruments:

- 1) Stakeholder Committee (to address land resource management issues);
- 2) Sectoral Networks (to promote sustainable development).

How have these structures to be implemented in order to ensure responsiveness from authorities?

How can an effective operational scheme be devised?

Identified Instruments: Local Forums, Watershed Partnerships and other Stakeholders Councils; Memorandum of Understanding for the Protection and Conservation of the Architectural-Historical Heritage of Carnia; Stakeholder Commission (Conferenza dei servizi); Intermunicipal Voluntary Associations (ASTERs).

Best Practices: Memorandum (RECOVER subproject), Regional Pool of commercial areas Neckar-Alb (De).

EXAMPLE



## DIAMONT FOLLOW-UP

At the end of the workshop, the moderator will highlight the possibility to develop a new project involving the Test Region based on the 2 workshops results.

Due to limited time, an additional meeting (or individual meetings) can be organised with the interested stakeholders.

The Matrix of Workshop 2 results could be the base for building up the new project idea.

The stakeholders can fill in a prepared project idea form (they will focus on their own problems with no apparent transnational implications for the moment). Partners then will have to integrate the emerged needs (from WS results and single project proposals) according to a transnational perspective.

Inserting the project proposals on the web could be an option to enhance visibility.



Interreg III B

# TOLMEZZO TEST REGION (ITALY)



## RESULTS OF WORKSHOP 1

### Problems and Conflicts

- Insufficient spatial management capacity.
- Lack of a regional marketing strategy.
- Insufficient cooperation between local authorities.
- Lack of transparency in decision-making → Conflicts between spontaneous citizen groups and Regional (federal) authority.
- Poor willingness to implement effective participatory and cooperative processes.





## RESULTS OF WORKSHOP 1

### Instruments

- *ASTER* (voluntary inter-municipal Associations as from FVG Regional Law no. 1/2006): proved poorly effective so far.
- EU projects: so far, they have lacked follow-up in terms of lasting development opportunities.
- Spatial planning tools: poorly adequate.



## RESULTS OF WORKSHOP 1

### Visions, proposals

- Bigger size of municipalities could enhance effectiveness and sustainability of land management, as well as responsiveness from higher level authorities.
- Supra-regional projects should adapt to local demands (usefulness of conflicts insofar as they reveal social needs).
- Excessive development of spontaneous interest groups can result in decision-stopping.
- Systemic approach: conflict=confrontation; Particularistic approach: conflict=contrast.
- Education and training: improve, rationalize and spur innovative demand.



## RESULTS OF WORKSHOP 1

### Moderation Team's Judgment

#### **Public Participation Methodology:**

Participants have shown a high degree of involvement: the good interaction established between stakeholders and UNCEM team suggests the same methodology (the 'World Café') be maintained also for the second workshop.



## RESULTS OF WORKSHOP 1

### Moderation Team's Judgment

#### **Instrument Data-Base:**

The creation of a data base at Alpine Space level has been appreciated by all participants and perceived as an important knowledge-provider suited to steer land management and sustainable development. In particular, Italian stakeholders expect relevant inputs to come from the other DIAMONT Test Regions: best practices exchange can improve the local awareness and capacity.



## RESULTS OF WORKSHOP 1

### Moderation Team's Perception

Hyper-criticism on the stakeholders' part concerning their own Region's strengths, weaknesses and potential. The very complexity and pointedness of their reasoning can be regarded as somehow contradicting their own sense of backwardness, revealing instead their strong cultural background and variegated knowledge of the latest advances of modern society (in terms not only of technology and communications, but also politics, economy, education, environment and so on).



Interreg III B



## WORKSHOP 2 ORGANISATION

**Date:** 23 October 2007

**Location:** Tolmezzo c/o Hotel Roma

**Invitations** sent to 70 people

**Cooperation** with WAREMA project  
(Interreg Cadses)

*The World Café*

Diamont/Warema  
Workshop

Albergo Roma  
Piazza XX Settembre, Tolmezzo  
23 Ottobre 2007



## WORKSHOP 2 MODERATION PLAN

### Introduction

Summary of Workshop 1 results.

Objectives of Workshop 2:

Problems: Insufficient inter-municipal cooperation contributes to poor spatial management capacity and inadequate planning tools; combined with lack of transparency in decision-making and poorly effective participation, they frequently generate conflicts on land resource management.

Concise description of a feasible strategy to manage land resource management conflicts.

- 1) Stakeholder Committee (to address land resource management issues)
- 2) Sectoral Networks (to promote sustainable development)
- 3) Inter-municipal Spatial Database (definition of a common knowledge base - GIS)
- 4) Expert contribution to shape Prospect scenarios and shared vision
- 5) Integration of available planning tools into a common strategic spatial planning framework.



## WORKSHOP 2 MODERATION PLAN

<p><b>1<sup>st</sup> Round:</b> Intra-regional cooperation and stakeholder participation</p>	<p>1) Stakeholder Committee (to address land resource management issues) 2) Sectoral Networks (to promote sustainable development) <u>How have these structures to be implemented in order to ensure responsiveness from authorities?</u> <u>How can an effective operational scheme be devised?</u> <b>Instruments:</b> Inter-municipal policy resolution; Memorandum RECOVER; WAREMA Watershed partnership; ASTER; Stakeholder Commissions (<i>Conferenze dei servizi</i>). <b>Best practices:</b> RECOVER project, Regional Pool of commercial areas Neckar-Alb (De).</p>
--	--





## WORKSHOP 2 MODERATION PLAN

<p><b>2<sup>nd</sup> Round:</b> Knowledge base, scenarios and common vision</p>	<p>3) Inter-municipal Spatial Database (definition of a common knowledge base - GIS) <u>How have the relevant responsibilities to be allotted?</u></p> <p>4) Expert contribution to shape prospect scenarios and shared vision <u>What is the ideal organizational framework of this step?</u> <u>How is public acknowledgement of results to be obtained?</u></p> <p><b>Instruments:</b> Regional Law 5/2007: Urban planning reform and regulations about building activity and landscape; Municipal Master Plan (<i>Piano Regolatore Generale</i>); Regional Web Data Base.</p> <p><b>Best practices:</b> Natisone River Basin Database (FVG)...</p>
---	--



Interreg III B

## WORKSHOP 2 MODERATION PLAN

<p><b>3<sup>rd</sup> Round:</b> Strategic Spatial Planning</p>	<p>5) Integration of available planning tools into a common strategic spatial planning framework</p> <p><u>How is such an integration to be carried out?</u></p> <p><u>Who will be responsible for it?</u></p> <p><u>How can durability of this strategy be ensured?</u></p> <p><b>Instruments:</b> FVG Regional Spatial Plan (= <i>Piano Territoriale Regionale</i> PTR), SCOT (Fr), FVG New Mountain Plan and Local Action Plan.</p> <p><b>Best practices:</b> STRASSE project, Entlebuch Biosphere Reserve.</p>
--	--



Interreg III B

## WORKSHOP 2 MODERATION PLAN

<b>Presentation of results (Plenum)</b>	Overall review of results, highlighting congruencies and differences.
<b>Follow-up</b>	<u>What could be the future steps basing on the results of DIAMONT WSs?</u> <u>Could promising synergy be obtained with other ongoing projects/activities?</u>